

Typed routing with continuations

Daniel Patterson

Position Development
positiondev.com

<https://dbp.io/static/fn-continuations-haskell-meetup-2016.pdf>

What are Fn routes?

```
1 app :: Ctxt -> IO (Maybe Response)
2 app ctxt =
3   route ctxt
4     [end                                     ==> indexH
5     ,path "add" // segment // segment      ==> addH
6     ,path "add" // param "a" // param "b" ==> addH
7     ]
8 indexH :: Ctxt -> IO (Maybe Response)
9 indexH ctxt = ...
10
11 addH :: Ctxt -> Int -> Int -> IO (Maybe Response)
12 addH ctxt a b = ...
```

Let's try without continuations.

```
1 data UriPart = Constant Text | Segment | Param Text | End
2 type UriPattern = [UriPart]
3
4 pattern ==> handler = \url -> .. produce response
```

Type of ==> is...? UriPattern -> **Handler** -> Uri -> Response

T1 -> T2 -> ... -> Response

What goes wrong?

```
pattern = [p1, p2, ... pn]
```

```
Handler :: T1 -> T2 -> ... -> Tn -> Response
```

n must be the same, so the type of the handler depends on the value of the pattern.

Review: polymorphism

```
id_int :: Int -> Int
id_int i = i
```

```
id_str :: String -> String
id_str s = s
```

```
id :: a -> a
id a = a
```

```
id 10      :: Int    -- a is Int
id "Hello" :: String -- a is String
```

Type variables are “instantiated”
with concrete types

Continuations!

Or, type dependency with plain-old-polymorphism.

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int
add a b = a + b
```

Becomes

```
add_k :: (Int -> a) -> Int -> Int -> a
add_k k a b = k (a + b)
```

Can use as:

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int      add_print :: Int -> Int -> IO ()
add a b = add_k id          add_print a b = add_k print
```

etc...

Related problem: printf

`printf :: FormatString -> Arg -> ... -> Arg -> IO ()`

Specification of
number & types of
args - `Hi %s, %d`

Must match - `String -> Int`

Similar to: must match

`(==>) :: Route -> (Arg -> ... -> Arg -> IO (Maybe Response))`

printf

Build format string out of “combinators”:

```
main = do printf (c"Hi " % s % c", " % d) "there" 10
         printf (c"foo" % d) 10
```

```
> Hi there, 10
```

```
> foo10
```

```
printf (c"Hi " % s % c", " % d) :: String -> Int -> IO ()
printf (c"foo" % d) :: Int -> IO ()
```

Polymorphism and continuations used to make this work.

printf

Format will take continuation of where to send formatted string.

```
printf :: (String -> IO ()) -> a -> a
printf format = format putStrLn
```

Type variable accomplishes dependency. Will instantiate as:

```
IO ()
String -> IO ()
String -> Int -> IO ()
etc.
```

start with c - constants

```
printf (c"Hello there")
```

```
> Hello there
```

```
printf :: ((String -> IO ()) -> a) -> a
```

```
"Hello there"  
c :: String -> ((String -> IO ()) -> IO ())
```

`(String -> IO ()) -> IO ()`

a particular continuation
(that can do IO)

vs. `(String -> b) -> b`

any continuation
(more flexible)

c - implementing it

```
c :: String -> ((String -> b) -> b)
```

```
c str = \k -> k str
```

Let's see the types get instantiated:

```
printf :: ((String -> IO ()) -> a) -> a
```

```
printf (c"hello there") :: a = IO ()
```

But why?

```
printf expects: ((String -> IO ()) -> a)  
(c"hello there") :: ((String -> b) -> b)
```

$b = IO ()$ $b = a$ so $a = IO ()$

C - using it

```
printf (c"hello world")
```

```
(c"hello world") putStrLn
```

```
((\str -> \k -> k str) "hello world") putStrLn
```



```
(\k -> k "hello world") putStrLn
```



```
putStrLn "hello world"
```

d - integer format

```
printf d 10
```

```
> 10
```

```
printf :: ((String -> IO ()) -> a) -> a
```

try to write like c (implementation first):

```
d = \k -> ... k (show (int :: Int))
```

where does this come from?

d - integer format

```
printf d :: Int -> IO ()
```

```
d = \k -> ... k (show (int :: Int))
```

let's learn from c:

```
printf (c"hello there") :: IO ()
```

```
(c"hello there") :: ((String -> b) -> b)
```

```
c str = \k -> k str
```

we want: `Int -> IO ()`


```
d = \k -> \int -> k (show (int :: Int))
```

d - using it

```
printf d 10
```

```
d putStrLn 10
```

```
(\k -> \int -> k (show (int :: Int)) putStrLn 10
```



```
(\int -> putStrLn (show int :: Int)) 10
```



```
putStrLn (show 10 :: Int)
```

s - string format

pretty much the same as d:

```
s :: (String -> a) -> String -> a
s = \k -> \str -> k str
```

```
printf s "hello there"
printf d 100
printf (c"hello")
```

```
> hello there
> 100
> hello
```


Combining them

think in terms of continuations (rather than types)

$f1 \% f2 = \backslash k \rightarrow \dots$

where combined, formatted string will go

can use $f1$ and $f2$ by passing them continuations:

$f1 \% f2 = \backslash k \rightarrow f1 (\backslash s1 \rightarrow \dots f2 (\backslash s2 \rightarrow \dots))$

string from $f1$

string from $f2$

k needs combined output, i.e. $s1 ++ s2$:

$f1 \% f2 = \backslash k \rightarrow f1 (\backslash s1 \rightarrow f2 (\backslash s2 \rightarrow k (s1 ++ s2)))$

(yes, that works)

but let's check the types anyway.

A format has type: $(\text{String} \rightarrow a) \rightarrow F[a]$ (not a real type)

=

$T1 \rightarrow T2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a$

We want something like this “type”:

```
(%) :: ((String -> a) -> F[a])  
      -> ((String -> b) -> F[b])  
      -> (String -> c) -> F[c]
```

type-checking %

$f1 \% f2 = \lambda k \rightarrow f1 (\lambda s1 \rightarrow f2 (\lambda s2 \rightarrow k (s1 ++ s2)))$

$(\%) :: ((String \rightarrow a) \rightarrow F[a])$
 $\rightarrow ((String \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F[b])$
 $\rightarrow (String \rightarrow c) \rightarrow F[c]$

becomes:

$(\%) :: ((String \rightarrow a) \rightarrow c)$
 $\rightarrow ((String \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a)$
 $\rightarrow (String \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c$

```
type Str = String
```

using % (types)

let's see how printf (d % s) types as `Int -> String -> IO ()`

```
(%) :: ((Str -> a) -> c)      d :: (Str -> f) -> Int -> f  
-> ((Str -> b) -> a)  
-> (Str -> b) -> c
```

```
(%) :: ((Str -> f) -> Int -> f)      s :: (Str -> g) -> Str -> g  
-> ((Str -> b) -> f)  
-> (Str -> b) -> Int -> f
```

```
(%) :: ((Str -> Str -> g) -> Int -> Str -> g)  
-> ((Str -> g) -> Str -> g)  
-> (Str -> g) -> Int -> Str -> g
```

```
(d % s) :: (Str -> g) -> Int -> Str -> g      so g = IO () and  
printf :: ((Str -> IO ()) -> a) -> a          a = Int -> Str -> IO ()
```

using % (values)

```
printf (d % s) 10 "hi"
```

```
(d % s) putStrLn 10 "hi"
```

```
(\k -> d (\s1 -> s (\s2 -> k(s1++s2)))) putStrLn 10 "hi"
```



```
(d (\s1 -> s (\s2 -> putStrLn (s1 ++ s2)))) 10 "hi"
```

```
(\k -> \int -> k (show int))  
  (\s1 -> s (\s2 -> putStrLn (s1 ++ s2))) 10 "hi"
```

```
(\int -> (\s1 -> s (\s2 -> putStrLn (s1 ++ s2)))  
  (show int))  
  10 "hi"
```

using % (values)

```
(\int -> (\s1 -> s (\s2 -> putStrLn (s1 ++ s2)))  
  (show int))  
10 "hi"
```

```
(\int -> s (\s2 -> putStrLn ((show int) ++ s2)))  
10 "hi"
```

```
(\int -> (\k -> \str -> k str)  
  (\s2 -> putStrLn ((show int) ++ s2)))  
10 "hi"
```

```
(\int -> \str ->  
  (\s2 -> putStrLn ((show int) ++ s2)) str)  
10 "hi"
```

using % (values)

```
(\int -> \str ->  
  (\s2 -> putStrLn ((show int) ++ s2)) str)  
10 "hi"
```

```
(\int -> \str -> putStrLn ((show int) ++ str))  
10 "hi"
```

```
putStrLn ((show 10) ++ "hi"))
```

complete printf

```
c str = \k -> k str
```

```
d = \k -> (\int -> k (show (int::Int)))
```

```
s = \k -> (\str -> k (str::String))
```

```
printf format = format putStrLn
```

```
(%) f1 f2 = \k -> f1 (\s1 -> f2 (\s2 -> k (s1 ++ s2)))
```

because inference will figure types out...

How fn routing differs

- Overall, very similar.
- Routes can fail to match, so combinators return Maybe and short circuit if Nothing.
- A Request is threaded through, so that segment can remove matched portions.
- Building a single argument function that expects to get a multi-argument handler, rather than a multi-argument function. This means // does function composition (between a function that will pass some arguments to handler and another that will pass more).

Other approaches

- Type families: build type level list, use it to construct function of right arity (Spock does this).
- Define typed DSL (needs GADTs, I believe) for patterns, write interpreter for it that while running consumes a Request. In OCaml: <http://rgrinberg.com/blog/2014/12/13/primitive-type-safe-routing/>

Questions?

Learn more about Fn: <http://fnhaskell.com>

Earliest place I found this idea:

Danvy, “Functional Unparsing” (1997)

<http://www.brics.dk/RS/98/12/BRICS-RS-98-12.pdf>

<https://dbp.io/static/fn-continuations-haskell-meetup-2016.pdf>